

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/537,863	03/29/2000	Osamu Funahashi	2000 0402A	2000 0402A 7280	
7:	590 01/16/2004		EXAMII	NER	
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			FAULK, DE	FAULK, DEVONA E	
Suite 800 2033 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20006			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/537,863	FUNAHASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU ING DATE of this communication approx	Devona E. Faulk	2644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	larch 2000 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,13,14,19,20,25 and 26</u> is/are reje	ected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>11,12,15-18,21-24 and 27-30</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/or election	requirement.			
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
		the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/537,863.				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the species according to Figures 1-3 and claims 1-8, 13,19,20, 25 and 26 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that not all species enumerated by the examiner illustrate species having mutually exclusive features. This is found to be persuasive because the species are not patentably distinct. So, the office has agreed to examine, additionally, claims 9,10 and 14.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 amd 13 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,919, Funahashi et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter is obviously the same for the reasons: Claims 3 of Application No. 09/537863 claim a speaker apparatus` and a sound reproduction apparatus,

Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

Page 3

where claim 3 claim a speaker system and a sound reproducing apparatus. Inspected closely, the claims obviously read on the same subject matter with the claims of Application No. 09/537863 being broader. Since claims 1 and 13 of Application No. 09/537863 is broader than the claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,919, then claim 1 of Application No. 09/537863 would obviously infringe on the narrower claim, claim 3, of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,919.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

Figure 22, all the reference numbers are labeled incorrectly. On page 1 of the specification, the numbers 1201-1207 reference the elements of Figure 22. In Figured 22, the numbers 1101-1106 reference the elements. Correction is needed.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The term "substantially" in claim 1 is a relative term that renders the claim indefinite.

The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,863 Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funahashi et al. (EP 0 800 330 A2).

Regarding claim 1, Funahashi discloses a speaker system comprising a speaker unit (402), which reads on "a speaker unit"; a passive radiator (401), which reads on "a passive radiator unit"; a baffle (403) being a constituent of speaker box for mounting the passive radiator (401) and the speaker unit (403), which reads on "a baffle on which said speaker unit and said passive radiator unit are mounted, said baffle forming a constituent part of a speaker box"; a cabinet (407; Figure 1) that constitutes a speaker box in combination with the baffle (403) and a sub-baffle (406), which reads on "a back plate, wherein said speaker unit, said passive radiator unit and said baffle form a closed chamber" and "wherein said speaker unit (402), said baffle (403) and said back plate (407) form a second closed chamber"; the speaker unit (402) is mounted in a reverse orientation relative to passive radiator (column 5, lines 42-51), which reads on "wherein said speaker unit is disposed so that a in direction of said speaker unit is opposite to

Art Unit: 2644

a direction of said passive radiator unit, or in a direction that is **substantially** opposite to a direction of said passive radiator unit".

Claim 2 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1 further comprising an auxiliary baffle disposed in front of said baffle, and wherein said first closed chamber is formed by said speaker unit, said passive radiator unit and said baffle and said auxiliary baffle. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. He further teaches of a sub-baffle (406) that splits a space into the front closed cavity (404) and the back closed cavity (405) and a cabinet (407). Figure 1shows a Kelton type speaker and indicates the sub-baffle is disposed in front of the baffle (403) and it along with the speaker unit (402), passive radiator (401), and baffle (403) forming a first closed chamber.

Claim 3 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1 wherein said passive radiator unit is mounted on a closed back frame having an opening in one direction of a side of said frame. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Figure 1 shows a Kelton type speaker and indicates that the passive radiator (401) is mounted on a frame, the cabinet (407) being the frame.

Claim 6 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1 wherein an area of an opening in said baffle is not less than 30% of an effective area of a diaphragm of said speaker unit, said opening connecting a space between said speaker unit and a diaphragm of said passive radiator unit. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Figure 1 indicates that the opening through which the passive radiator/ diaphragm sits is at least the same size of the diaphragm and that the opening connects a space as claimed.

Art Unit: 2644

Claim 7 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1, wherein a corner edge of an opening connecting a space between said speaker unit and a diaphragm of said passive radiator unit in said first closed chamber is chamfered or rounded. As sated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of claim. Because the passive radiator is not square (see Figure 2), it is inherent that any corner edge of an opening connecting a space between the speaker and diaphragm would have to be chamfered so that the diaphragm can fit properly to the passive radiator.

Claim 8 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1, where a semi-circular or arc-shape holder is provided integrally at a vicinity of am opening connecting a space between and said speaker unit and a diaphragm of said passive radiator unit in said first closed chamber, said holder supporting a damper of said passive radiator unit. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Funahashi further teaches that the passive radiator has a damper and edge (column 5, line 26). It is inherent that a

Claim 9 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a diffuser disposed in front of said baffle, and an acoustic opening disposed in a direction perpendicular to a direction of sound radiation from said passive radiator unit. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Figure 12 teaches of another embodiment with a diffuser (408) that reads on the claimed matter (column 9, lines 20-30)

Claim 13 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1, wherein an opening is provided for exposing a plate portion of said speaker unit to an outside. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. He further teaches that the speaker unit (402) is mounted on an opening of a cylinder (403b) (column 5, lines 4-9).

Art Unit: 2644

Claim 19 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 13 wherein said plate portion of said speaker unit is provided with an uneven surface. As stated above apropos of claim 13, Funahashi meets all elements of that claim. Whether or not the plate portion of said speaker unit has an uneven surface is a matter of design choice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4, 5,10,14,20,25,and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Funahashi et al. (EP 0 800 330 A2) in view of Perkins et al. (U.S. Patent 6,259,798).

Claim 5 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 4, wherein said edge is an up roll type edge. As stated in apropos of claim 4, Funahashi meets all elements of that claim. Although he does not speak specifically to the type of edge, it would be a matter of design choice.

Claim 10 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1, wherein said baffle is provided with heat dissipation slits and a sealing material in a region which makes contact with a bottom plate of said speaker unit. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Funahashi teaches that the back closed cavity (405) seals sound output from the front of speaker unit (402) (column 4, lines 57-57; figure 1). There is obviously some sort of sealing material present. Perkins teaches of a powered speaker having an aluminum front panel with vents or ports and of a heat sink such as internal webs or fins (column 1, lines 25-34). Heat sinks or heat fins permit better dissipation of heat. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/537,863 Page 8

Art Unit: 2644

skill in the art to provide Funahashi's plate portion of the speaker with heat slits for the benefit of providing for more efficient cooling of the speaker.

Claim 14 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 1 further comprising a sealing panel wherein said second closed chamber is formed by said speaker unit, said baffle, said back plate and said sealing panel. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Funahashi anticipates all elements of that claim. Funahashi teaches that the back closed cavity (405) seals sound output from the front of speaker unit (402) (column 4, lines 57-57; figure 1). Figure 1 indicates one closed chamber that reads on the claimed matter. There is obviously some sort of sealing material present. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Funahashi's plate portion of the speaker with heat slits for the benefit of providing for more efficient cooling of the speaker.

Claim 20 claims the speaker apparatus of claim 13 wherein said plate portion of said speaker unit is provided with heat radiation fins. As stated above apropos of claim 13, Funahashi meets all elements of that claim. Perkins teaches of a powered speaker having an aluminum front panel with vents or ports and of a heat sink such as internal webs or fins (column 1, lines 25-34). Heat sinks or heat fins permit better dissipation of heat. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Funahashi's plate portion of the speaker with heat fins for the benefit of providing for more efficient cooling of the speaker.

Claims 4, 25 and 26 claim the speaker apparatuses of claims 1, 2 and 3 respectively wherein said passive radiator unit is provided with an edge having a thick central portion covering the surface of a diaphragm and a circumference portion integrally formed together.

Edges are part of the support system for passive radiators. Figure 1 shows the passive radiator is

Art Unit: 2644

on top of the diaphragm. Figure 2 shows the passive radiator (401). It is obvious that the edges would have to be thick enough to support the diaphragm. Thus it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the edge cover the surface of the diaphragm for the benefit of making sure the diaphragm is secure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 703-305-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

DF

PRIMARY EXAMINER